

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
<p>Should a call be made to Mr. Brigg's office re who our OS point of contact is or do you want to do it another way.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Fred Evans</p> <p><i>Heavens no! This is strictly info. Do nothing. If decided later on point of contact</i></p>		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date 9/9/83

[Redacted]		Initials	Date
		<u>D</u>	<u>9/9</u>
2.	<u>C I P P G</u>		
3.			
4.	<u>N/O action at</u>		
5.	<u>this time.</u>		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

We would propose

as the

OS point of contact. I am assuming that [Redacted] or someone at that level would nominate someone to chair the "Interdirectorate Team(s)"

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Room No.—Bldg.

AC/PPG

Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA

CONFIDENTIAL

Executive Registry

* 83-4304

31 AUG 1983

DD: A 1.33137

83-0569/2

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration
Deputy Director for Intelligence
Deputy Director for Operations
Deputy Director for Science and Technology
General Counsel
Inspector General
Comptroller

FROM: Charles A. Briggs
Executive Director

SUBJECT: Development of CIA Strategic Plan, 1983-1993

REFERENCE: CIA Strategic Plan, 1982-1992 (BYE 020/83)

1. This memorandum establishes this year's long-range planning cycle and reaffirms my commitment to a dynamic and vital planning process for the Agency. Our experience with the referenced plan reflected the value of the process itself in promoting a systematic approach to matching projected capabilities to anticipated problems. The intelligence environment and policy issues of the 1980s are complex and constantly shifting. We must revisit our projections each year to ensure that our highest priority needs receive adequate attention and that our efforts are directed to the areas with the highest potential payoff over the longer term. In sum, we must adjust strategy to accommodate change.

2. For 1983, then, your planning should take into account the long-range strategy reflected in the 1982 Strategic Plan. But it should focus specifically on needed changes or adjustments to that strategy which might be called for as a result of updating our forecast of intelligence needs. In my view, you should consider the following in the course of the planning process:

-- sharpening the focus on overt collection and a greater exploitation of open source literature;

-- developing legislative initiatives where needed to achieve desired goals in personnel management;

-- developing more extensive cooperation between the Agency and other Community organizations;

-- improving intelligence support to military commanders in the field; and

DCI
EXEC
REG2 SEP
1983

PHASE
PURP

-- ensuring that plans for new or expanded capabilities include realistic estimates of the space needed for people and equipment.

3. We are entering a period in which fiscal realities may dictate some reduction in the overall resources assigned to national security objectives. New challenges in the future may have to be met by reallocating existing resources rather than through real growth. Our planning must proceed on two tracks, however, with the long-range track keyed to future broad policy requirements as they are perceived today. The planning process should not be constrained by specific resource considerations or current capabilities.

4. The materials prepared for EXCOM review by the Agency-wide teams should be concise and should also reflect your personal involvement in the process. Specific steps in this year's process are outlined in the attachment. In general, they call for:

-- EXCOM review of the progress made on the near-term action items keyed to the referenced Strategic Plan;

-- a reexamination of the world view contained in the previous plan with emphasis to be placed only on changes (the view of the future being developed for the Intelligence Community Staff's Strategic Planning Design should be particularly useful for this purpose);

-- identification of the impact of any such changes on requirements for collection and support; and

-- revision of the long-term guidance to take account of the above.

5. My Planning Staff will coordinate the process; serve as referent for questions regarding procedures, scheduling, and the like; and draft the final plan based on your contributions. Please supply to the Planning Staff by close of business 9 September your nominees to serve on the Interdirectorate Planning Group for the 1983 planning cycle and nominees to chair the Interdirectorate Teams specified for each planning phase.

6. The cycle is shorter this year. If the results are to provide useful and timely guidance for program development, the 1983-93 Strategic Plan must be completed and published in early December 1983. This can only be accomplished if every effort is made to adhere to the schedule outlined in the attachment.

Charles A. Briggs

Attachment